

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/370,770		08/09/1999	JUSTIN CHE-I CHUANG	2685/5259	8481
26652	7590	12/01/2003		EXAMI	NER
AT&T (			LUGO, DAVID B		
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIIDDLL	ZIOWIN,	, 143 07746		2634	/2
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003	/«

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/370,770	CHUANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David B. Lugo	2634					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover shee	nt with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. , a reply within the statutory minimum operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 September 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	D) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection t							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the draw	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document as specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the specific reference was included in the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document reference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence	ments have been received ments have been received priority documents have between (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies mestic priority under 35 U.S he first sentence of the species provisional application has mestic priority under 35 U.S mestic priority under 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National Stage not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cification or in an Application Data Sheet. as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. Regarding claims 13-15, Applicant admits that equation 1 of the reference to Furuskär et al. represents a performance measuring function that uses at least one parameter, but contends that there is no teaching of calculating a threshold value including the step of determining a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized for each of the link adaptation modes. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.
- In page 1285, the final paragraph starting on the left hand column of the reference to Furuskär et al., it is stated that the task of the link adaptation algorithm is to always select the scheme n maximizing throughput  $S_n$ , and that each link adaptation scheme achieves the highest throughput among the different schemes for a certain link quality. Reference is made to Figure 1, which clearly shows that there is a range of link quality values for which each scheme maximizes the throughput. Thus, a range bounded by threshold values for each link adaptation mode is explicitly shown in Fig. 1, where the thresholds correspond to the link quality values for which each link adaptation scheme begins and finishes realizing the highest throughput with respect to the other link adaptation schemes.
- 4. The rejection of claims 13-15 is maintained, and is restated below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2634

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Furuskär et al.
- Regarding claim 13, Furuskär et al. teach the calculation of threshold values for each of at least one link adaptation modes where a performance criteria function is calculated using at least one parameter (p. 1285, equation 1), and for each link adaptation mode in Table 1, a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized is determined (see p. 1285, left hand column, final paragraph, Fig. 1), where the environment is considered a retransmission environment as retransmissions are performed as necessary (see p. 1285, left hand column, section B).
- 8. Regarding claim 14, the performance criteria function is a throughput function, S<sub>n</sub>, dependent upon a radio interference rate, R, and a block error rate value, BLER<sub>n</sub>.
- 9. Regarding claim 15, the signal quality value is a function of a signal to interference ratio (C/I).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2634

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0954.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at **(703)** 305-4714.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dbl 11/18/03 NOUNG T. TSE PAIMARY EXAMINER